OPI	

Office of Public Instruction Linda McCulloch, Superintendent

## CHIDED RECORD REVIEW

			O Box 2025 lena, MT 5			GUIL	ID K	ECORD .	KE VIE W
		<b>OTE:</b> Place a ompliant. <u>Do</u>	☐ L a check			tion  To		☐ IEP Notice	☐ IEP ☐ FAPE ↓ 3  In that section is non-
Sı	tudent	Initials:		Birthdat	e:	Age:	Sex:	Grade:	Disability:
D	istrict	:		So	chool Buil	ding:		SPED Teacher	:
P			Student	Initials:			_	ate of most recen	
Ī					ırrently <u>attı</u> provider (			s speech services	urrent special educatio
<u> </u>			Ref	ferral	Evalua Plai	tion	CST Meeting	IEP Meeting	IEP Amendment
	Most	Recent	Most I	Recent	Most Reco	ent M	ost Recent	Most Recent	
	Prev	ious			Previous	Pr	evious	Previous	
	Prev	ious			Previous	Pr	evious	Previous	
"I" "' "' "' "' "' "' "' "' "' "' "' "' "'	S No N MELII  Yes''  No''	There is less corner of the calendar day There is mo corner of the day calculate	s than a e "Evalu vs from t re than e "Evalu ion canr	l consent 60 calend action Plan the date o a 60 calend action Plan not be cale	was receitar day differ and "To f parent signdar day den" and "To culated.	ved. ference bet day's Date gnature. ifference b day's Date	ween the "I" on the CS" etween the " on the CS"	"Date Returned" Γ. Or, a date is n	in the lower left in the lower left missing and the 60
1	N/A	consideratio		m <u>or the 11</u>	muai CSI	was before	; juiy 1, ∠00	os and the 60 day	timeline is not a

## $\begin{array}{c|c} \underline{\textbf{If NO, review file and check one or more items below.}} \\ & \square & \text{No reason given.} \end{array}$

February 21, 2008 - 1 -

	Student transferred districts during the 60-day timeline.
[	The student did not participate in scheduled evaluations.
	☐ Meeting rescheduled due to parents school district staff.
	☐ District staff did not complete evaluation(s) in 60-day timeline.
	School not in session for all/part of the 60-day interval (summer/winter vacation).
	☐ District and parent agreed to postpone evaluation.
	☐ Part C agency did not provide evaluation information in a timely manner.
	☐ Other, please explain:
•	
	B. 8/1/2005 and later only: <u>Initial</u> IEP was conducted within 30 days of the initial CST
	C. The student is reevaluated every three years OR the parents and the school district
	agreed that a reevaluation was unnecessary
"Yes"	A CST meeting was held within three years of the previous CST OR documentation exists that the
	parents and IEP team agreed that a CST was unnecessary prior to the date of the reevaluation CST.
	This information may be contained in the IEP or in other documentation.
"No"	A three-year reevaluation CST was not conducted AND no documentation exists that the parents
	and IEP team agreed that a three-year CST was unnecessary.
''N/A''	This is an initial evaluation.
- 11	
	D. IEP was in effect at beginning of school year
"Yes"	The duration of the IEP included the first day of the school year.
"No"	The duration of the IEP did not include the first day of the school year or no IEP had been
1.0	developed for the student.
"N/A"	This is an initial IEP.
- 11	1 mo 10 mm mm === :
	E. IEP is reviewed every twelve months
''Yes''	An annual IEP meeting is held within 365 calendar days of the previous IEP meeting.
"No"	More than 365 days have elapsed since the previous annual IEP meeting.
"N/A"	This is an initial IEP.
- "	
Special ed	ducation record includes:
	f a document is missing from the file, do not score the details for that record.
	- 1
	A. Records are maintained in a secure and confidential manner
"Yes"	Special education records are kept in a locked storage area or an area with limited student access
	which is under the continual visual supervision of school personnel.
"No"	The records are kept in an unlocked area which is accessible to passerby and which is not under
	continual visual supervision of school personnel.
	•
	B. Access log
"Yes"	Record has an access log.
"No"	Record DOES NOT have an access log.
	C. Access log includes a list of all individuals who have access to records
''Yes''	Access log lists all individuals who have access to records under FERPA.
"No"	Access log does not list all individuals who have access to records under EEDDA

February 21, 2008 - 2 -

	D	Record of individuals obtaining access to record, including name, date and purpose
		If the access log has not been signed, this does not constitute a "No". Individuals who appear on
	the list ci	ted in the above item are not required to sign when accessing the student record.
	E	. Information about this student only
		Information about this student only  Information about siblings contained in social histories or disciplinary records which contain
		ion about other students is acceptable.
	F	•
		If the record contains a "reconstructed" referral this is a "Yes".
	G	
	H	
		Test protocols must be kept in the special education records and not in the sole possession of
		provider or school psychologist.
	I.	
	$\mathbf{J}_{\cdot}$	
		X. Progress Reports sent to parents
	NOTE: I	Information may be present in the special education record, stored with current IEP or be available
	from the	special education teacher for the IEP in effect. Check "NA" if this is an initial IEP and the
		<u>progress</u>
	report pe	riod has not yet ended.
	DD 4 T .	
REFE	RRAL in	
		ral from another district  More than 2 years old  Reconstructed
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ent Document not in Record
	NOIE:	If you checked any of the boxes above, move to the next section without reviewing the document.
	A	. Regular education interventions tried
		Attached documentation from pre-referral teams is acceptable and encouraged.
"Yes"		ocumentation of general education interventions includes all four components on the referral
2 02		(Dates, Implemented by, Intervention, Results of Intervention)
"No"		or more of the components are missing, the interventions did not address the specific reason
		ferral or the duration of the interventions was too short to have an effect on the students.
	<b>.</b>	
	В	. Specific reasons for the referral
"Yes"	The re	easons for referral reflect the results of observations, assessments, and interventions (such as
		ning data, individualized test results, and prereferral strategies).
"No"		easons for referral are vague or not related to the general education interventions ("having
	proble	ems," "needs assistance").
	_	
	C	
		☐ Check this box if the parent signed as the referring person.
EX/AT	IIATION	PLAN includes:   Evaluation Plan from another district
LVAL	UATION	<del>_</del>
		<ul><li>☐ More than 2 years old</li><li>☐ Current Document not in Record</li></ul>
	NOTE.	If you checked any of the boxes above, move to the next section without reviewing the
	MOIE:	if you enceked any of the boxes above, move to the next section without reviewing the

February 21, 2008 - 3 -

document	t.
	A. Why the student is being evaluated
	B. A description of each evaluation procedure
□□ N	IOTE: If they are using the OPI form, this is a "Yes." For non-OPI forms, check "No" if the form does no
	nclude a description of each evaluation procedure (excluding observation)
	C. A parent signature for permission*
	* If written permission was not obtained for reevaluation, record has documentation
	of attempts to obtain
	D. The Evaluation Plan was provided in the parents' native language
	<b>NOTE:</b> Look for evidence in the file that the student is LEP or that the parent's only language is
	something other than English
	E. <u>Initial Evaluation</u> : The parents were given the Procedural Safeguards brochure
	<b>1</b>
	<b>NOTE:</b> Check all identified assessments for use with items E and F below.
	Academic Assist. Tech. Behavioral Class-Based Assess. Communicatio
	Developmental English Proficiency FBA Observations Physical
	Psychological Social/Emotional Transition Other:
CST REI	PORT includes: School: SPED Teacher/SLP:
	☐ CST Report from another district ☐ More than 2 years old
	Current Document not in Record
NOTE: 1	If you checked any of the boxes above, move to the IEP section without reviewing the CST.
	A. Parent comments
"Yes"	Parent comments are included or it is noted that the parents had no comments or did not attend.
"No"	Parent comments area is left blank.
	B. Current classroom-based assessments (CBA)
N	IOTE: CBA might include grades, individual assessments and reports of student abilities in the classroor
''Yes''	CBA are complete and provide information on current performance.
"No"	There are no CBA or CBA do not provide information on current performance.
110	There are no CBT of CBT do not provide information on current performance.
	C CDA includes the student's involvement and progress in the general surriculum
	C. CBA includes the student's involvement and progress in the general curriculum
<b>T</b> . T.	D. Observations by teachers and/or related services providers
No.	IOTE: These may be contained in psychological or other reports, so long as they are attached to the CST
No	
No	IOTE: These may be contained in psychological or other reports, so long as they are attached to the CST
No	IOTE: These may be contained in psychological or other reports, so long as they are attached to the CST  E. All assessments marked on Evaluation Plan were conducted  F. Only assessments marked on the Evaluation Plan were conducted
	IOTE: These may be contained in psychological or other reports, so long as they are attached to the CST  E. All assessments marked on Evaluation Plan were conducted  F. Only assessments marked on the Evaluation Plan were conducted  G. Implications for educational planning for all assessment areas
	E. All assessments marked on Evaluation Plan were conducted  F. Only assessments marked on the Evaluation Plan were conducted  G. Implications for educational planning for all assessment areas  [OTE: Implications must specify modifications/accommodations or suggested teaching methods.
NO	E. All assessments marked on Evaluation Plan were conducted F. Only assessments marked on the Evaluation Plan were conducted G. Implications for educational planning for all assessment areas  IOTE: Implications must specify modifications/accommodations or suggested teaching methods. H. Disability criteria (written or checklist - only for INITIAL evaluation of a disability
No	IOTE: These may be contained in psychological or other reports, so long as they are attached to the CST  E. All assessments marked on Evaluation Plan were conducted  F. Only assessments marked on the Evaluation Plan were conducted  G. Implications for educational planning for all assessment areas  IOTE: Implications must specify modifications/accommodations or suggested teaching methods.  H. Disability criteria (written or checklist - only for INITIAL evaluation of a disability IOTE: Check "No" if there is no criteria for each identified disability or if a written statement does
No	E. All assessments marked on Evaluation Plan were conducted F. Only assessments marked on the Evaluation Plan were conducted G. Implications for educational planning for all assessment areas  IOTE: Implications must specify modifications/accommodations or suggested teaching methods. H. Disability criteria (written or checklist - only for INITIAL evaluation of a disability
No	E. All assessments marked on Evaluation Plan were conducted F. Only assessments marked on the Evaluation Plan were conducted G. Implications for educational planning for all assessment areas  IOTE: Implications must specify modifications/accommodations or suggested teaching methods. H. Disability criteria (written or checklist - only for INITIAL evaluation of a disability IOTE: Check "No" if there is no criteria for each identified disability or if a written statement does ot address all criteria in the ARM for the initial identification of that disability.
No	IOTE: These may be contained in psychological or other reports, so long as they are attached to the CST  E. All assessments marked on Evaluation Plan were conducted  F. Only assessments marked on the Evaluation Plan were conducted  G. Implications for educational planning for all assessment areas  IOTE: Implications must specify modifications/accommodations or suggested teaching methods.  H. Disability criteria (written or checklist - only for INITIAL evaluation of a disability IOTE: Check "No" if there is no criteria for each identified disability or if a written statement does

February 21, 2008 - 4 -

	disability									
"No"	disability.  The statement does	not meet	t the abox	va standar	d for av	omple: it	ic a state	ment of th	na disabi	lity
INU	("Nica is SI") or a s							ment of ti	ie disabi	mty,
	(11104 15 51 ) 01 4 5	, tuto III e II	that the	jeddelle 1	reeds spe	ciai caac	ation.			
	J. Disabili	ity categ	ory(ies):							
"Yes"	Disability category(	(ies) ider	ntified.							
"No"	There are no disab	ility cate	gory(ies)	identifie	d.					
									_	disability
	<b>OTE:</b> Review the cr	riteria che	ecklists, 1	ncluding	exclusion	nary facto	ors, to de	termine n	ecessary	
"Yes"	sessments. File includes results	s of asses	sments in	AII ar	eas relate	d to the s	uspected	disability	7	
"No"	The necessary asses								•	
	<b>,</b>			<u> </u>	-					
Child Stu	idy Team includes:									
	A copy of the i	report wa	as provid	led to the	e parent					
	Parent(s)	If narant	t did not	attand r	ecords o	f attamn	te to arr	anga a m	ntually	agreed on
		ime/plac		attenu, i	ccorus	1 attemp	is to arr	ange a m	utuany a	agreeu on
	<b>NOTE:</b> This n	_		d through	n meeting	notes, co	ontact log	s or copie	es of invi	itations.
	Student	·		C						
	Administrator	•								
	Regular educa			_						
	Special educat		_			_	_	. 1. :1:4		
LLL N	Teacher or spe OTE: This would be			_		_		•		
14			rai cauca	mon wac				re profess	ional	
DECLUDE		T The spec	I	1	ner, pare			e profess	ional.	<del></del>
REQUIREI MEETING	FOR INITIAL CST	AU	CD	DB	DE	ED ED	н	ce profess	ional.	ТВІ
MEETING	FOR <u>INITIAL</u> CST	AU		DB	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	ED		LD		
MEETING School Psyc	D FOR <u>INITIAL</u> CST		CD X	DB X	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>					TBI X
MEETING School Psyc Speech-lang	FOR <u>INITIAL</u> CST	AU X			DE X or	ED	HI X or	LD	SI	X
MEETING School Psyc Speech-lang Audiologist	bologist uage Pathologist	AU X X	X	X	DE X or X	ED X	HI X or X	LD	SI	X
MEETING School Psyc Speech-lang Audiologist	D FOR <u>INITIAL</u> CST	AU X X	X	X	DE X or X	ED X	HI X or X	LD	SI	X
MEETING School Psyc Speech-lang Audiologist	bologist uage Pathologist	AU X X	X	X	DE X or X	ED X	HI X or X	LD	SI	X
MEETING School Psyc Speech-lang Audiologist	bologist uage Pathologist	AU X X	X	X	DE X or X	ED X	HI X or X	LD	SI	X
MEETING School Psyc Speech-lang Audiologist N	o FOR <u>INITIAL</u> CST  hologist  uage Pathologist  OTE: For DE and H	AU  X X II, either	a SLP or	x Audiolog	DE  X or X gist is req	X uired, no	HI X or X	LD	SI	X
MEETING School Psyc Speech-lang Audiologist N	hologist uage Pathologist  OTE: For DE and H	AU  X  X  III, either	a SLP or	x Audiolog	DE X or X	X uired, no	HI X or X	LD	SI	X
MEETING School Psyc Speech-lang Audiologist N	o FOR <u>INITIAL</u> CST  hologist  uage Pathologist  OTE: For DE and H	AU  X  X  III, either	a SLP or	x Audiolog	DE  X or X gist is req	X uired, no	HI X or X	LD	SI	X
MEETING School Psyc Speech-lang Audiologist N	hologist uage Pathologist  OTE: For DE and H  Ides: School: nt Document not in	AU  X  X  III, either	a SLP or	X Audiolog	DE  X or X gist is req	X uired, no	HI X or X	LD	SI	X
School Psyc Speech-lang Audiologist  N  IEP inclu  Curre	hologist uage Pathologist  OTE: For DE and H  Ides: School: nt Document not in	AU  X X HI, either  Record	a SLP or	X Audiolog SPED	DE  X or X gist is req	X uired, no	X or X t both.	LD	SI X	X
School Psyc Speech-lang Audiologist  N  IEP inclu  Curre	hologist uage Pathologist  OTE: For DE and H  des: School: nt Document not in  A. Student	AU  X X HI, either  Record	a SLP or	X Audiolog SPED	DE  X or X gist is req	X uired, no	X or X t both.	LD	SI X	X

February 21, 2008 - 5 -

"Yes"	Parent comments are included or it is noted that the parents had no comments or did not attend.
"No"	Parent comments area is left blank
<u>co</u>	ation of: OTE: Place a mark in the first or second column to indicate "Yes" or "No" that the IEP documented insideration of this special factor. Place a mark in "(Checked "Yes":) if the IEP team checked the item Yes."  C. Whether student behavior impedes learning (Checked "Yes":)
	D. Communication needs (Checked "Yes":) E. Assistive technology devices/services (Checked "Yes":) F. Limited English Proficiency (Checked "Yes":) G. If any item in C-F is checked "Yes," the need is addressed in the IEP
	OTE: These factors may be addressed by goals, accommodations, modifications, specific plans (behavior, ecial health care, technology, etc.) or in the minutes.
	For student who is blind or visually impaired, consideration of:  Orientation and mobility = Yes or No (If Yes, training must be in IEP)  Instruction in Braille = Yes or No (If No, minutes must say "Why not")
	H. Present level of academic achievement and functional performance (PLAAFP)
Y N OF	If No: Not Present No Academic Achievement/Functional Performance
	<ul> <li>□ No information about current performance</li> <li>□ Not related to MAG</li> <li>□ Not state how disability affects involvement/progress in gen. ed., etc.</li> </ul>
"Yes"	PLAAFP comprehensively addresses academic achievement and functional performance, provides
	information about current performance and is related to the MAG. If appropriate, it also
	comprehensively states how the disability affects involvement and progress in the regular curriculum or for preschool students, involvement in appropriate activities.
"OK"	The PLAAFP implies the student's academic achievement and functional performance, provides information about current performance and is related to the MAG. If appropriate, it also implies how the disability affects involvement and progress in the regular curriculum or for preschool
	student, involvement in appropriate activities.
"No"	The PLAAFP is left blank or incomplete. The information provided does not describe the student's current performance in a way that can guide the IEP team in the development of the MAG.
	I. Measurable annual goals (MAG)  If No:   No permanent product  No permanent product
"Yes"	Does MAG have the ability to be measured as a permanent product (i.e. there is a clear outcome)?
	Does MAG include a mechanism to measure progress?
''No''	MAG does not meet the above requirements.
	MAG addresses enabling the child to be involved in and make progress in the regular curriculum or, for preschool children, to participate in appropriate activities  MAG addresses other educational needs that result from the child's disability
	J. COMPLETE THIS ITEM ONLY for children who take the CRT-ALT:

February 21, 2008 - 6 -

	Short-term Objectives or Benchmarks which are measurable (STOB)  If No: □ Not Present □ No mechanism to measure progress
	No permanent product
"Yes"	Does STOB have the ability to be measured as a permanent product (i.e. there is a clear outcome)?
	Does STOB include a mechanism to measure progress?
"No"	STOB does not meet the above requirements.
	K. If student does not participate in Physical Education, specially designed physical
	education is included in the IEP: Yes No
	OTE: If the severity/nature of the students disability would suggest specially designed physical
ed	ucation but it is not identified in the IEP, ask the sped teacher if the student participates in regular PE.
	L. How often progress reports will be sent to parents
N	OTE: If at least one progress reporting period is checked within the IEP, mark this item "Yes."
	M. IEP considers the results of the most recent CST
"Yes"	Any special education or related services in the CST are included in the current IEP or there is an
	explanation on the current or previous IEP as to why those services were not considered.
"No"	Any of the indicated services are not included in the IEP <b>and</b> there is no explanation as to why they
	were not considered.
"NA"	The CST is more than two years old and was not reviewed.
	N. IEP team addressed any lack of progress in the general curriculum
"Yes"	ALL academic needs in the CST or IEP were included in the IEP or there was an explanation as to
	why the need was not included. Reference the following IEP sections: Educational Concerns, PLAAFP and the MAG descriptions.
"No"	One or more needs were not included or explained in the IEP.
110	One of more needs were not included of explained in the 121.
	O. The frequency, location, and date of initiation of special education and related services
"Yes"	Each of the above items is identified in the IEP.
"No"	One or more of the above items is not identified in the IEP (circle the missing item).
N	OTE: "Date of initiation" is "Today's Date" on page 1 of the IEP.
	P. The child's placement:
1187 11	a. is based on the child's IEP
"Yes"	The placement in a special education setting is based on the amount and type of services identified in
!! <b>\</b> \T_!!	the IEP.
"No"	The placement in a special education setting is greater than necessary to provide the services
	identified in the IEP.
	☐☐ b. is as close as possible to the child's home
"Yes"	The school the student is attending is the closest available school providing the services this student
	needs.
"No"	He/she attends a school other than the one which he/she would attend if not disabled.
N	OTE: Having this box checked "No" on the IEP does not indicate non-compliance if a reasonable
ex	planation is provided.
	c. is in the school that he/she would attend if nondisabled

February 21, 2008 - 7 -

"Yes"	This school is within the attendance area of the student's residence.
"No"	He/she attends a school other than the one which he/she would attend if not disabled.
	d. In selecting the LRE, consideration is given to any potential harmful effect on the child or on the quality of services that he or she needs
"Yes"	The LRE decision made by the team is appropriate to the student's identified needs.
"No"	There is insufficient documentation to support the LRE decision, which may have a harmful effect upon the child.
N	OTE: If 'No" is checked for any of the preceding, explain why below.
	Q. Supplementary Aids and Services for the student, including modifications or supports for school personnel.
N	OTE: If team checked "not Needed," check Yes.
"Yes"	The IEP contains Supplementary Aids and Services which are necessary for the student and/or school personnel. Examples include: extended time on exams or staff training in use of specific positive behavioral interventions. If team checked "Not Needed," check Yes.
"No"	The IEP does not contain the Supplementary Aids which were suggested by the CST team, previous IEPs or individualized assessments or observations.
	R. Participation in State/Districtwide Assessments
"Yes"	The IEP documents a choice for BOTH tests below.
"No"	One or more tests are not addressed.
NOT	ent will participate in the following manner: E: Record the IEP team decision for each assessment. Check "N/A" if the district does not conduct ctwide assessments.
	CRT Tests (Grades 3-8, 10)    Without accommodations   With accommodation(s)   With accommodation(s)   With accommodation(s)   Alternate   Assessment Scale     Not addressed   Not addressed
	<b>NOTE:</b> Check "Not Addressed" if a choice should have been made and wasn't.
	If student is taking Alternate Assessment, IEP addresses:  Why the child cannot participate in the particular assessment  Why the particular alternate assessment selected is appropriate for the child
NOT	wide assessments are not being conducted during the term of this IEP  E: Check this box if the student is in grades PK, K, 1, 2, 11, 12, OR if the student is in grade 9 AND uration of the IEP does not include the time period in which the testing will occur (spring).
	S. Extended School Year services were considered

February 21, 2008 - 8 -

**NOTE:** If the student's third birthday occurs in the summer, the individualized education program (IEP) team shall decide whether the student is to receive extended school year services during the summer.

	services during the summer.
"Yes"	One of the boxes under the Extended School Year heading is checked.
"No"	None of the boxes under the Extended School Year heading are checked, or the proposed meeting
	date for determination is passed and no documentation exists of a determination of the need for ESY.
	T. IEP Accessibility and Responsibilities
"Yes"	One of the four IEP Accessibility and Responsibilities check boxes is marked.
"No"	None of the IEP Accessibility and Responsibilities check boxes are marked.
TED (II	
IEP Tear	n includes:
	Parent(s)
	<b>☐</b> A copy of the IEP was given to the parent
	Written consent for initial and annual placement was obtained prior to placement
	Parent is given a copy of the procedural safeguards notice at least once per year
	If parent did not attend, records of attempts to arrange mutually agreed on
	time/place
	<b>NOTE:</b> This may be documented through meeting notes, contact logs or copies of invitations.
	Student, age 15 and older Administrator
	Regular education teacher
	Special education teacher or speech and language pathologist
	Teacher or specialist with knowledge in the area of suspected disability
	<b>NOTE:</b> This could be the special education teacher, parent or related service professional.
	Representative of other agency (transition IEP)
"Yes"	The IEP team included a representative of an other agency who, <b>PRIOR TO GRADUATION OF</b>
	THE STUDENT:
	1. is likely to or is paying/providing for a transition service <b>prior to graduation</b> ; and
	2. The Transition Service likely to being paid for/provided by the other agency <b>prior to graduation</b>
	is included in the <u>Transition Services Needed to Assist the Student in Meeting MPSG</u> area of the
	IEP.
"No"	The IEP team was required to included a representative as described in 1 and 2 above <b>prior to</b>
	graduation but did not.
	STUGULION OUT GIG HOU.
"NA"	Other agencies were not providing transition services <b>prior to graduation</b> .

## **IEP Team Member Excusal:**

February 21, 2008 - 9 -

	P meeting included at least one:
	Special education teacher or speech and language pathologist
	Regular education teacher (if the student is or may be participating in the regular education
	environment
	Administrator or designee
<u></u>	
Th	ne excusal documented:
	The parent's consent for excusal <u>prior to</u> the IEP meeting
	The member(s) to be excused
	Each excused member provided written input prior to the meeting.
	If No, indicate member Copies of the written input from each excused IEP Team member is included in the IEP
	document.
	If No, indicate member
IED Amos	rdmont. Cobool. Toodhon
IEP Ame	
	A. Indicates the date of the IEP being amended  B. Indicates what areas of the IEP are being amended
	C. Copies of changes to IEP are attached
	C. Copies of changes to IET are attached
NOTE: R	eview only most recent IEP Amendment
1,012,1	action only most recent 121 rimenament
Ar	nendment approved by:
	Parent(s)
	District
TRANSIT	ΓΙΟΝ IEP includes: (Beginning with the IEP to be in effect on the child's 16 <sup>th</sup> birthday)
	A. The student's desired post-school activities were considered
"Yes"	"Student's Desired Post-School Activities" are listed.
"No"	"Student's Desired Post-School Activities" are not listed. (left blank)
	B. Age appropriate transition assessment was conducted for training, education,
	employment, and, if appropriate, independent living skills.
	Assessment <u>was</u> conducted but did not include training, education,
	employment, or independent living skills. (circle missing items)
"Yes"	Transition assessment results are described or attached

February 21, 2008 - 10 -

"No"	Assessm	ent was not conducted in one or more areas.
		<u> </u>
	C.	Measurable post-secondary goals related to education or training, employment and,
		if appropriate, independent living skills.
		NOTE: More than one required area may be included in a single goal.
"Yes"	A measu	rable postsecondary goal was written for each area: education or training, employment, and
103		riate, independent living skills.
"No"		equired areas were included in a measurable postsecondary goal(s). Circle the missing topic
110		equired areas were included in a ineasurable postsecondary goar(s). Effect the missing topic
	area.	
	ъ	
	D.	The IEP includes the Courses of Study for at least the duration of the IEP
		s includes only the courses of study and not the Anticipated Graduation Date or credits
ea	arned to da	te.
	<u>E.</u>	Needed transition services
"Yes"		rvice area was considered because specific services are documented or the box, "Discussed
	and not n	needed" is checked.
"No"	One or m	nore service areas was not considered.
	-	
	<b>F.</b>	The district invited (with parent permission) any other agency that is likely to be
	_	responsible for providing or paying for transition services
N	OTE: Lo	ok on meeting invitation.
- '	.012, 20	
	$\neg$ G	If the agency failed to provide the transition services described in the IEP
	<b>G.</b>	If the agency failed to provide the transition services described in the IEP,
	_	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies
□□ □ N	_	
N	OTE: Lo	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.
N	_	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably
N	OTE: Lo	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.
	OTE: Loo	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why:
"Yes"	OTE: Loo  H.  The IEP	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why: has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs.
	OTE: Loo  H.  The IEP	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why:
"Yes"	OTE: Loo  H.  The IEP	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why: has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs.
"Yes"	OTE: Loo  H.  The IEP	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why: has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs.
"Yes" "No"	OTE: Loo  H.  The IEP	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why: has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs. surable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services ARE NOT steps to the MPSGs
"Yes" "No"	H.  The IEP The Mea	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why: has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs. surable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services ARE NOT steps to the MPSGs
"Yes" "No"	H.  The IEP The Mea	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why: has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs. surable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services ARE NOT steps to the MPSGs
"Yes" "No"	H.  The IEP The Mea	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why: has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs. surable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services ARE NOT steps to the MPSGs
"Yes" "No"  If studen	The IEP The Mea  A. IEP show	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why: has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs. surable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services ARE NOT steps to the MPSGs  ':  Student was informed of rights that will transfer at age of majority ws student was informed at least one year prior to turning age 18 of the transfer of rights
"Yes" "No"  If studen	The IEP The Mea  A. IEP show under the	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why:  has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs. surable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services ARE NOT steps to the MPSGs  :  Student was informed of rights that will transfer at age of majority as student was informed at least one year prior to turning age 18 of the transfer of rights heading, "Transfer of Rights at Age of Majority." And/or a copy of the letter, "Transfer of
"Yes" "No"  If studen "Yes"	The IEP The Mea  A.  IEP show under the Parental	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why: has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs. surable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services ARE NOT steps to the MPSGs.  Student was informed of rights that will transfer at age of majority we student was informed at least one year prior to turning age 18 of the transfer of rights the heading, "Transfer of Rights at Age of Majority." And/or a copy of the letter, "Transfer of Rights/Student Notice" is complete and included in the student record.
"Yes" "No"  If studen	The IEP The Mea  A.  IEP show under the Parental IEP does	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why: has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs. surable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services ARE NOT steps to the MPSGs.  Student was informed of rights that will transfer at age of majority of student was informed at least one year prior to turning age 18 of the transfer of rights the heading, "Transfer of Rights at Age of Majority." And/or a copy of the letter, "Transfer of Rights/Student Notice" is complete and included in the student record.  not show the date the student was informed of rights and/or does not include a completed
"Yes" "No"  If studen "Yes"	The IEP The Mea  A.  IEP show under the Parental IEP does	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why: has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs. surable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services ARE NOT steps to the MPSGs.  Student was informed of rights that will transfer at age of majority we student was informed at least one year prior to turning age 18 of the transfer of rights the heading, "Transfer of Rights at Age of Majority." And/or a copy of the letter, "Transfer of Rights/Student Notice" is complete and included in the student record.
"Yes" "No"  If studen "Yes"	The IEP The Mea  IEP show under the Parental IEP does copy of t	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why:  has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs. surable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services ARE NOT steps to the MPSGs  :  Student was informed of rights that will transfer at age of majority vs student was informed at least one year prior to turning age 18 of the transfer of rights e heading, "Transfer of Rights at Age of Majority." And/or a copy of the letter, "Transfer of Rights/Student Notice" is complete and included in the student record.  not show the date the student was informed of rights and/or does not include a completed the "Transfer of Parental Rights/Student Notice" form.
"Yes" "No"  If studen "Yes"  "Yes"	The IEP The Mea  IEP show under the Parental IEP does copy of t  B.	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why:  has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs.  surable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services ARE NOT steps to the MPSGs  :  Student was informed of rights that will transfer at age of majority  vs student was informed at least one year prior to turning age 18 of the transfer of rights heading, "Transfer of Rights at Age of Majority." And/or a copy of the letter, "Transfer of Rights/Student Notice" is complete and included in the student record.  not show the date the student was informed of rights and/or does not include a completed he "Transfer of Parental Rights/Student Notice" form.  Parents were informed of rights that will transfer at age of majority
"Yes" "No"  If studen "Yes"	The IEP The Mea  A. IEP show under the Parental IEP does copy of t  B. IEP show	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why:  has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs. surable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services ARE NOT steps to the MPSGs.  Student was informed of rights that will transfer at age of majority we student was informed at least one year prior to turning age 18 of the transfer of Rights/Student Notice" is complete and included in the student record.  not show the date the student was informed of rights and/or does not include a completed the "Transfer of Parental Rights/Student Notice" form.  Parents were informed of rights that will transfer at age of majority we parent was informed at least one year prior to the student turning age 18 of the transfer of
"Yes" "No"  If studen "Yes" "Yes"	The IEP The Mea  A. IEP show under the Parental IEP does copy of t  B. IEP show	the district reconvened the IEP team to identify alternative strategies ok for evidence of this in the IEP or IEP Amendments.  The student's measurable annual goals and transition services will reasonably enable the student to meet the identified post-secondary goals.  If no, explain why:  has Measurable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services which are steps to the MPSGs. surable Annual Goal(s) and Transition Services ARE NOT steps to the MPSGs  :  Student was informed of rights that will transfer at age of majority  vs student was informed at least one year prior to turning age 18 of the transfer of rights heading, "Transfer of Rights at Age of Majority." And/or a copy of the letter, "Transfer of Rights/Student Notice" is complete and included in the student record.  not show the date the student was informed of rights and/or does not include a completed he "Transfer of Parental Rights/Student Notice" form.  Parents were informed of rights that will transfer at age of majority

February 21, 2008 - 11 -

copy of the "Transfer of Parental Rights/Parent Notice" form.	
IEP MEETING NOTICE must:	☐ Current Document not in Record
A. Indicate the purpose, time, and location of the meeting  B. Indicate who will be in attendance  C. Inform the parents that other individuals who have knowledge or special expertise about the child may participate in the IEP  D. For student transitioning from Part C services, Part C service coordinator invited NOTE: If student did not transition from Part C services, mark NA.	
Beginning at age 16, indicate:  A. That a purpose of the meeting will be the development of transition services needs/needed transition services and measurable postsecondary goals  B. That the agency will invite the student  C. Any other agency that will be invited to send a representative	
TRANSFER STUDENTS	
A. <u>In-state transfer</u> The district implemented the student's IE	EP Date of documentation:
B. Out-of-state transfer—the district:  i. determined that student is eligible in N  ii. implemented the student's IEP	Montana Date of Determination: Date of documentation:
FAPE FOR CHILDREN AT AGE 3 Referred by parent only	
	ys before the child's third birthday, the IEP was developed han the child's third birthday.

"Transfer of Parental Rights/Parent Notice" is complete and included in the student record.

IEP does not show the date the parent was informed of rights and/or does not include a completed

"No"

February 21, 2008 - 12 -

NOTE: Developed and implemented means consented to by the parent.